

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,536	07/11/2001	Torbjorn Albertsson	66291-320-5	6876
25269 75	590 02/12/2003			
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			HANSEN, COLBY M	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

81

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/902,536

Applicant(s)

Albertsson et al.

Examiner

Colby Hansen

Art Unit 3682



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVENTE OF MONTHEOLERONA
	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p	g date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	
<ul> <li>Failure</li> </ul>	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	··
	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 21, 2	2002 .
2a) 💢	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	<del>-</del>
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☒ None of:	
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents hav	
_	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	<del></del>
	application from the International Burea	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  The translation of the foreign language provisional	
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachme		priority under 55 0.3.6. 33 120 and/or 12
	etit(s) stice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔲 info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Art Unit: 3682

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Sweden on 7/14/2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Swedish application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al (US Pat. 4,529,35).

Suzuki et al (US Pat. 4,529,35) discloses a manipulator comprising a plurality of mutually movable arms, a first 13 of said arms being arranged around a first axis and a second of said arms 12 being rotatably arranged around a second axis, cabling 5 extending along the arms which are mutually movable and a supporting device 3a which supports a part of the cabling extending between the first arm 13 and the second arm 12, said supporting device 3a comprising

Application/Control Number: 09/902,536

Art Unit: 3682

a supporting arm 26 which is rotatably arranged around a third axis and is arranged at the first arm, and a first attachment 33, arranged at an outer end of the supporting arm and surrounding the cabling, wherein the first attachment and the third axis are arranged on opposite sides of the longitudinal axis of the first arm, the supporting arm 36 being arranged to exert a resilient force in the longitudinal direction of the cabling, and the supporting device comprising an auxiliary arm 41 with a second attachment arranged at the second arm 12.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. ('352) in view of Kizer (US Pat. 5,593,265).

Suzuki et al. ('352) discloses the claimed invention except for a spiral spring biaser for the support arm, or said spiral spring having a housing.

Kizer (US Pat. 5,593,265) discloses a spiral spring for biasing a support member relative to a base, said spiral spring housed in a container.

Art Unit: 3682

It would have been-obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the spiral spring of Kizer (US Pat. 5,593,265) within the supporting device of Suzuki et al. ('352) as an obvious variant to the leaf spring 36.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/21/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Suzuki et al. ('352) is not an anthropomorphic robot with a five axis only arrangement. Examiner does not disagree with applicant's assessment, but nowhere within the claim language is such a limitation claimed, thus as broadly recited, all of the limitations set forth in claim 1 are met by Suzuki et al. ('352).

Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to one having ordinary skill to have utilized the assembly of Kizer (US Pat. 5,593,265) within Suzuki et al. ('352). Examiner disagrees. While the Kizer (US Pat. 5,593,265) is outside the robotics field, it does teach the support of a support member relative to a base member, which is certainly relevant to applicant's invention. Thus, using the spiral spring biasing means of Kizer (US Pat. 5,593,265) in lieu of the leaf spring of Suzuki et al. ('352) is deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,536 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

#### FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence **not** permitted by facsimile transmission, see MEP. 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MEP. 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and
Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on
(Date)

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

Application/Control Number: 09/902,536

Art Unit: 3682

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MEP. 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/902,536

Number: 09/902,330

Art Unit: 3682

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

Page 7

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Colby Hansen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1036. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday from 7:30 PM to

5:00 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bucci, can be reached on (703) 308-3668. Any inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Colby M. Hansen

Patent Examiner

110/03